UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

NOAH E. ROBINSON 2251 DICK GEORGE RD CAVE JUNCTION, OR 97523

JAN 2 6 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Noah E. Robinson

Application No. 10/707,263

Filed: December 2, 2003

Attorney Docket No.

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181(a) to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed December 6, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply to the non-final Office action mailed February 9, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months from its mailing date. Extensions of the time set for reply were available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136. No response was received within the allowable period, and the application became abandoned on May 10, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 16, 2006.

In the instant petition, petitioner maintains that the non-final Office action was never received and that the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn accordingly.

A review of the application file and the Office computer records relative to the subject application file reveals that on February 9, 2006 (the date the non-final Office action was mailed), the address of record was listed as the offices of Berkley Law and Technology Group. Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations section 1.33(a) and Section 601.03 provides that the applicant must promptly notify the Office of a change in the correspondence address. The record reflects that a Request for Withdrawal of Attorney and Change of Correspondence Address was filed by Berkley Law and Technology Group on October 3, 2005, but not granted and the correspondence address changed until May 8, 2006. Section 711.03(c)(2) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure provides that:

... where an application becomes abandoned as a consequence of a change of correspondence address (the Office action being mailed to the old, uncorrected address and failing to reach the applicant in sufficient time to permit a timely reply) an adequate showing that due care was taken to adhere to the requirement of prompt notification ... of the change of address. ..and must include an adequate showing that a timely notification of the change of address was filed in the application concerned and in a manner reasonably calculated to call attention to the fact that it was a notification of a change of address.

Accordingly, the failure of petitioner to receive the non-final Office action at his address does not warrant a withdrawal of the holding of abandonment because petitioner did not promptly notify the Office of the change of correspondence address for the application. In order for a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment to be successful under these circumstances, petitioner would have to establish that the non-final Office action was not received at the office of Berkley Law an Technology Group as that was the address properly recognized by the Office as the address of record on the day the non-final Office action was mailed.

Petitioner may wish to consider filing a petition to revive based on unintentional abandonment under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (enclosed). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by the required reply, the required petition fee (\$1,500.00 for a large entity and \$750.00 for a verified small entity), and a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. A copy of the non-final Office action is enclosed for petitioner's convenience.

By mail: Commissioner for Patents

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned (571) 272-3222.

Kenya G. McLaughlin Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Enclosures: Non-final Office action mailed February 9, 2006

Form PTO/SB/64

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	OR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICAT DUNINTENTIONALLY UNDER		Docket Number (Optional)	
First named inv	rentor:			
Application No.	:	Art Unit:		
Filed:		Examiner:		
Title:				
Attention: Offic Mail Stop Peti Commissioner P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA	ion for Patents			
FAX (571) 273				
NO	OTE: If information or assistance is need Information at (571) 272-3282.	led in completing this form, p	please contact Petitions	
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.				
	APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS I	FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APP	PLICATION	
NO	OTE: A grantable petition requires the fol (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclai filed before June 8, 1995; and for (4) Statement that the entire delay	mer fee - required for all utilit or all design applications; an		
	ntity-fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). <i>i</i>	Applicant claims small entity 37 CFR 1.17(m))	status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	
	fee e reply and/or fee to the above-noted O e form of		ify type of reply):	
] [has been filed previously onis enclosed herewith.	·		
B. TI [ne issue fee and publication fee (if applic has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.			
	[Pa	ge 1 of 2]		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee									
Since this utility/plant application was filed	on or after June 8, 199	95, no terminal disclaimer is required.							
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c),									
subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]									
· /· / · · · · · ·	WARNING:								
Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting percontribute to identity theft. Personal information such numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider reducting to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the of the application (unless a non-publication request in coff a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandor referenced in a published application or an issued pater 2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in	h as social security nur n form PTO-2038 submit s type of personal inform g such personal informat record of a patent applic ompliance with 37 CFR ned application may also nt (see 37 CFR 1.14). Cl	nbers, bank account numbers, or credit card ted for payment purposes) is never required by ation is included in documents submitted to the ion from the documents before submitting them ration is available to the public after publication 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance to be available to the public if the application is necks and credit card authorization forms PTO-							
Signature		Date							
Typed or printed name	e	Registration Number, if applicable							
Address		Telephone Number							
Address									
Enclosures: Fee Payment									
Reply									
Terminal Disclaimer Form									
Additional sheets containing st	atements establishing	unintentional delay							
Other:									
CERTIFICATE OF MAIL I hereby certify that this correspondence is be Deposited with the United States Popostage as first class mail in an enverted Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria Transmitted by facsimile on the date Office at (571) 273-8300.	ing: ostal Service on the da relope addressed to: M a, VA 22313-1450.	te shown below with sufficient							
Date	Signature								
	Typed or printed	name of person signing certificate							

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of
 presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to
 opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,263	12/02/2003	Noah E. Robinson		1262
43831 7:	590 02/09/2006		EXAM	INER
BERKELEY LAW & TECHNOLOGY GROUP			LEARY, LOUISE N	
1700NW 167T SUITE 240	H PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	BEAVERTON, OR 97006		1655	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/707,263	ROBINSON, NOAH E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louise N. Leary	1655				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	- alastian requirement					
oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	T.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
des the statement detailed office solion for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1655

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in this application.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Claims 1-6 are indefinite because the claims have been presented as multiple sentences. Claims 1-6 are ambiguous because it is unclear if the intent was to present each step as a claim.

Claims 1-2 are indefinite because the phrase "The invention of" does not set forth a claim limitation. It is suggested that the phrase be deleted in each claim to comply with US Patent practice.

Claim 1 is indefinite because in lines 4-5, the phrase "atoms or groups or groups of atoms" is ambiguous and appears to contain a typographical error. It is suggested that "or groups" be deleted if the phrase contains a typographical error.

Claims 3, 4 and 5 provides for the use of "the techniques described in claims 1 and/or 2", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process

claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claims 3-5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Regarding claim 6, the phrase "As an example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Correction is required to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(I). Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stratton et al (J. of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol. 90, No. 12, pp 2141-2148, (December 2001).

Stratton et al disclose a technique for the design of changes in deamidation rates in a model peptide. Stratton et al describe "Controlling Deamidation Rates in a Model

Art Unit: 1655

Peptide: Effects of Temperature, Peptide Concentration, and Additives". With respect to the claim limitation "the determination of a table of constants for atoms or groups or groups or groups of atoms", Stratton et al disclose the conformational effect and intramolecular event in the deamidation rate controlling method. See this entire reference. Also, Stratton et al disclose "[Deamidation of asparagines (Asn) residues is probably the most common pathway for chemical inactivation of protein pharmaceuticals^{1,2} The reaction rate for deamidation in aqueous solution is dependent on a number of extrinsic factors, such as pH, ³⁻⁶ solvent dielectric, ⁷ buffer concentration, ⁵ and temperature, ⁵ as well as intrinsic factors, such as the primary sequence ⁸⁻¹⁰ and the presence of secondary^{2,11,12} and tertiary structure. ¹³]" See page 2141.

(II). Claim 1-2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson et al (PNAS, Vol. 98, No. 8, pp 4367-4372, (April 2001).

Robinson et al disclose a technique for the design of changes in deamidation rates in a peptide sequences. Robinson et al disclose "Prediction of protein deamidiation rates from primary and three-dimensional structure". The method quantitatively estimates "...deamidation of asparaginyl (Asn) residues in proteins...". See the Abstract on page 4367. Regarding the instant "constants for atoms" table limitations, Robinson et al describe structural changes in proteins due to the effects of other molecular compounds. Also, Robinson et al describe hydrogen bonds associated with the proteins. See this entire document. Further, Robinson et al disclose "[Figs. 1-3 serve as a reasonable basis for estimating that Asn deamidation in proteins is, on

Application/Control Number: 10/707,263

Art Unit: 1655

average, determined approximately 60% by primary structure and 40% by 3D structure.]" Note page 4371.

Page 5

(III). Claim 1-2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson (PNAS, Vol. 99, No. 8, pp 5283-45288, (April 16, 2002).

Robinson discloses a technique for the design of changes in deamidation rates in a peptide sequences. Robinson discloses "Protein deamidiation".

rates from primary and three-dimensional structure". The method quantitatively estimates "...deamidation of asparaginyl (Asn) residues in proteins...". See the Abstract on page 5283. Regarding the instant "constants for atoms" table limitations, Robinson also describe structural changes in proteins due to the effects of other molecular compounds. Robinson et al describes atoms and hydrogen bonds associated with the proteins. See this entire document. In addition, Robinson et al disclose Asn deamidation in proteins and predicts the average determined by primary structure and by 3D structure. Note this entire document.

- 4. Darrington et al disclose "Effects of Insulin Concentration and Self-Association on the Partitioning of It's a-21 Cyclic Anhydride Intermediate to Desamido Insulin and Covalent Dimer" and has been cited to further show the state of this art.
- 5. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1655

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise N. Leary whose telephone number is 571-272-0966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey, can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 2, 2006